# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,900	10/21/2005	Masahiro Takizawa	1141/75271	9572	
23432 COOPER & DI	7590 04/09/2007 JNHAM, LLP		EXAM	INER	
1185 AVENUE	AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS ARANA, LOUIS M		LOUIS M		
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2859		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/09/2007	PAP	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<del> </del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/553,900	TAKIZAWA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Louis M. Arana	2859		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	•			
Period fo	• •				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute. cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	October 2005.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.			
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the med				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.			
	The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2005 is/al		objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	y(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	<b>,</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3 ( . ) ( . ) ( . ) ( . )		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have beer	received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bure				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachmen	• •	" <b>–</b>			
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application		
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/21/05</u> .	6)  Other:	<del></del> ·		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long and is not in a single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,900

Art Unit: 2859

Claims 1-23 are narrative and render the description of the limitations of the claims unclear. In claim 1 for example, line 2 describes "a unit region processing step" without defining what a unit region is or what is being processed. All claims are replete with such language. As another example, the next to last line of claim 17 describes "measuring by skipping", the examiner cannot ascertain the meaning of this recitation. Applicant is urged to rewrite all claims to conform to US practice avoiding a narrative description of the invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.
- 7. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter. As the invention can best be understood in view of the rejection above, the PROPELLER technique combined with parallel imaging, was first described by J.P. Pipe in the article "The use of Parallel Imaging with PROPELLER DWI in the Proc. Intl. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med. 11(2003).
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/553,900

Art Unit: 2859

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Zhang et al., Gaddipati et al. and Pipe all disclose PROPELLER MRI. Note the abstract of each of the disclosures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis M. Arana whose telephone number is (571) 272-2236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs. Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or \$771-272-1000.

Louis M. Arana Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

lma 3/30/07